


Zeolite Structures and Catalysis

DOI: 10.1002/anie.201406344

Multipore Zeolites: Synthesis and Catalytic Applications

Manuel Moliner, Cristina Martínez, and Avelino Corma*





In the last few years, important efforts have been made to synthesize so-called "multipore" zeolites, which contain channels of different dimensions within the same crystalline structure. This is a very attractive subject, since the presence of pores of different sizes would favor the preferential diffusion of reactants and products through those different channel systems, allowing unique catalytic activities for specific chemical processes. In this Review we describe the most attractive achievements in the rational synthesis of multipore zeolites, containing small to extra-large pores, and the improvements reported for relevant chemical processes when these multipore zeolites have been used as catalysts.

1. Introduction

Zeolites and related materials are crystalline microporous oxide materials with well-defined pores and cavities of molecular dimensions (ca. 3–12 Å) within their structure. The ability to tailor their chemical composition and pore topology has allowed a wide range of industrial applications, particularly as catalysts in oil refining, for petrochemicals, and other chemicals. [2]

The most extended chemical composition of zeolites is as silicoaluminates.^[3] Zeolitic silicoaluminates usually show high Brønsted acidity as a result of the presence of protons that balance the negative charges generated by the insertion of trivalent Al atoms with a tetrahedral coordination environment (T-atoms) into the zeolitic framework. [4] These acidic materials are applied as efficient catalysts in industrial processes that require Brønsted acidity, and their use is especially relevant in oil refining and petrochemistry, for example, in the isomerization, alkylation or cracking and hydrocracking of hydrocarbons.^[2a,c,d,5] On the other hand, the isomorphic substitution of several isolated metal centers, such as titanium, tin, or zirconium, into the zeolitic framework of high-silica materials, allows the preparation of Lewis acid containing zeolites.^[6] These materials have been applied as very selective catalysts for relevant oxidation reactions, especially in the field of fine chemicals^[6a,7] and more recently, their potential application in biomass-transformation processes is being extensively studied.^[8] Finally, the preparation of zeolites in the form of silicoaluminophosphate (SAPO) or metalloaluminophosphate (MAlPO, where M can be Co, V, Ti, among others), has allowed the application of new selective catalysts in chemical processes requiring Brønsted acid or redox sites.[9]

In addition to the chemical composition, the pore dimensions and topology defining the crystalline structure is another important feature of zeolites. This is a key issue since most of the chemical reactions involved in a catalytic process should take place on the active sites within the internal pores and cavities of the zeolite. Therefore, the size, shape, and dimensions of the pores/cavities present in the zeolite structures will determine their application as catalysts in particular chemical processes.^[4,10] Indeed, the unique microporous nature of zeolites with well-defined and regular pores,

From the Contents

1. Introduction	3561
2. Zeolites with Small and Medium Pores	3563
3. Zeolites with Small and Large Pores	3565
4. Zeolites with Medium and Large pores	3567
5. Zeolites with Extra-Large Pores Interconnected with Smaller Pores	3573
6. Conclusions and Perspectives	3575

allows controlling the access of reactants to the active sites and also the reactivity by shape-selectivity on reactants, intermediate transition states, or products.^[11]

The International Zeolite Association (IZA) recognizes 213 different zeolitic structures, which can be classified depending on the size of the openings that define the pores (determined by the number of heteroatoms defining the pores) or the number of dimensions through which a molecule can diffuse within the crystals (see Figure 1). In general, two-dimensional or three-dimensional zeolites with large or extralarge pores (> 7 Å) allow the processing of bulkier reactants and products, while a decrease in the zeolite dimensions and/or pore sizes results in hindered diffusion paths or severe steric restrictions of the organic molecules involved in the catalytic process. [10a,12] However, these limitations can turn into a virtue when small molecules have to be processed. For instance, very attractive industrial applications using small-




Figure 1. Dimensions through which a molecule can diffuse within the zeolitic crystals.

[*] Dr. M. Moliner, Dr. C. Martínez, Prof. A. Corma Instituto de Tecnología Química Universidad Politécnica de Valencia Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (UPV-CSIC) Valencia, 46022 (Spain) E-mail: acorma@itq.upv.es Prof. A. Corma King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals P.O. Box 989, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia



pore zeolites (<4 Å) have gained importance in the last decade, such as methanol-to-olefins or selective catalytic reduction of NO_x . [5]

If the zeolites accepted by the IZA are analyzed, it can be observed that several structures show interconnected pores of different size (see Table 1). The pore topologies of these socalled multipore zeolites include the combination of small and medium, small and large, medium and large, extra-large and medium, or extra-large and large pores (see Table 1). The presence of pores of different sizes could favor the preferential diffusion of reactants and products through different channel systems, establishing improved activities and selectivities for specific catalytic processes owing to a potential "molecular traffic control", as introduced by Derouane et al. in 1980.^[13] In their work, they claimed a new shape-selectivity effect that can occur in the intracrystalline volume of multipore zeolites, where reactant molecules can preferentially diffuse through a given channel while the products can diffuse out through another channel system, preventing in this way counter-diffusion limitations. The molecular traffic control was based on the selective adsorption results of different hydrocarbons on MFI zeolites.[13] Although the concept generated some controversy in the early years, [14] several theoretical reports have demonstrated that reactivity enhancement may occur by molecular-traffic-control effects.^[15]

Besides the molecular-traffic-control effect described for molecular sieves having interconnected pores of different size, some of these materials can have a different amount of framework Al atoms and, consequently, a different number of active sites in the different channels. They also show very specific cavities or "pockets" at the intersection of these different channels. [16] The form and shape of these cavities and/or the number of active sites in precise positions within



Manuel Moliner obtained his B.S. degree in Chemical Engineering at the University of Valencia in 2003 and completed his Ph.D. at the Polytechnic University of Valencia (UPV), in Chemistry, with Prof. Avelino Corma and Dr. Maria J. Díaz. After a two-year postdoctoral stay at Caltech with Prof. Mark Davis, he joined the "Instituto de Tecnología Química" (ITQ) as "Ramón y Cajal Researcher". At the present, he is a Tenured Scientist of the Spanish National Research Council (CSIC), and his research interests are at the interface between heterogeneous catalysis and materials design.



Cristina Martínez obtained her bachelor in Chemistry at the University of Valencia in 1991, and joined the group of Professor Corma at the Instituto de Tecnología Química (ITQ, UPV-CSIC) that same year. She received her Ph.D. in 1997 at the Polytechnic University of Valencia, and at present is a Tenured Scientist at the ITQ. Her principal research topics are focused on heterogeneous catalysis, mainly zeolite based, applied to refining and petrochemistry processes and methane upgrading.

Table 1: Zeolites with multipore systems.

IZA code	Zeolite Names	Pore architecture
AFR	SAPO-40 ^[52]	12×8
AFS	MAPSO-46 ^[131]	12×8
AFY	CoAlPO-50 ^[58]	$12 \times 8 \times 8$
BPH	UZM-4, ^[61] STA-5 ^[59]	$12 \times 8 \times 8$
BOG	ITQ-47 ^[95]	12×10
CGF	Co-Ga-phosphate-5 ^[132]	10×8
CGS	Co-Ga-phosphate-5, ^[133] TNU-1 ^[134]	$10\times8\times8$
CON	CIT-1, ^[87] SSZ-26, ^[85] SSZ-33 ^[85]	12×12×10
EON	ECR-1 ^[135]	$12\times8\times8$
ETR	ECR-34 ^[136]	$18 \times 8 \times 8$
FER	Ferrierite ^[137]	10×8
IRR	ITQ-44 ^[123c]	$18 \times 12 \times 12$
ITG	ITQ-38 ^[115]	12×10×10
ITH	ITQ-13 ^[37]	10×10×9
ITR	ITQ-34 ^[40]	10×10×9
ITT	ITQ-33 ^[123a]	18×10×10
IWR	ITQ-24 ^[89]	12×10×10
IWW	ITQ-22 ^[110]	12×10×8
MFS	ZSM-57 ^[138]	10×8
MOR	Mordenite ^[73]	12×8
MOZ	ZSM-10 ^[62]	12×12×8
MSE	MCM-68 ^[96]	12×10×10
OFF	Offretite ^[139]	12×8
ОКО	COK-14, ^[118] IPC-2 ^[51]	12×10
PCR	IPC-4 ^[51]	10×8
RRO	RUB-41 ^[46]	10×8
SBE	UCSB-8 ^[60]	12×8
SBS	UCSB-6 ^[60]	12×12×8
SBT	UCSB-10 ^[60]	12×12×8
SEW	SSZ-82 ^[103]	12×10
SFO	SSZ-51 ^[56]	12×8
SFS	SSZ-56 ^[102]	12×10
SFV	SSZ-57 ^[105]	12×10×10
STI	TNU-10 ^[34b]	10×8
SZR	SUZ-4 ^[140]	$10\times8\times8$
UOS	IM-16 ^[141]	$10\times8\times8$
UTL	IM-12, ^[119b] ITQ-15 ^[119a]	14×12
UWY	IM-20 ^[142]	12×10
_	EMM-8 ^[57]	12×8
-	ITQ-39 ^[84]	12×10×10

these cavities can help discriminate between different possible reaction transition states, or can have a direct influence on the entropy of activation, making the catalyst more active and selective for a particular reaction. [16] Recently, one of the most remarkable examples on active-site specificity in zeolites was



Avelino Corma studied Chemistry at the Universidad de Valencia (1967–1973), and received his Ph.D. at the Universidad Complutense de Madrid in 1976 with Prof. Cortés. He was Postdoctoral researcher with Prof. Wojciechowski in the Department of chemical engineering at the Queen's University (Canada, 1977–79). Since 1990 he is a professor at the Instituto de Tecnología Química (UPV-CSIC) at the Universidad Politécnica de Valencia. His current research is on catalysis, especially the synthesis, characterization, and reactivity of acid-base and

redox catalysis. He is the (co-)author of more than 900 articles and 100 patents on these subjects.



described for the low-temperature carbonylation of dimethyl ether with CO by using multipore acidic zeolites with particular cavities/pockets.[16c,17]

Herein, we review the most interesting zeolites and related materials having multipore structures, including their synthesis methodologies and their properties as catalysts. We will place special emphasis on those synthetic procedures that have been rationalized by selecting the appropriate conditions (i.e. organic and/or inorganic structure-directing agents or post-synthetic treatments, among others) to direct the synthesis of zeolites with a particular multipore framework, or with a preferential active-site location within the pores/cavities. In addition, we will also highlight some catalytic applications where the use of multipore zeolites has improved the catalytic activity and/or selectivity for particular chemical processes. The Review is divided according to the type of pores defining the multipore zeolites, classifying them as molecular sieves with small and medium, small and large, medium and large, and extra-large and smaller pores.

2. Zeolites with Small and Medium Pores

As it can be seen in Table 1, several zeolites have been synthesized containing interconnected small and medium pores in their structure. From all these multipore zeolites with small and medium openings, we have selected the materials presenting either more-rationalized synthesis procedures, or interesting catalytic applications based on their multipore structures.

2.1. Ferrierite (FER)

The structure of FER is formed by two-dimensional interconnected straight pores, including a 10-ring channel with openings of 4.2×5.4 Å along the [001] direction, and an 8-ring channel with openings of $3.5 \times 4.8 \text{ Å}$ along the [010] direction. This material is probably the most attractive zeolite containing interconnected small and medium pores in the same structure. Indeed, FER can be prepared under very broad synthesis conditions, with materials ranging from high silica (even pure silica) when different organic structuredirecting agents (OSDAs) are used, [18] to very low Si/Al ratios when the synthesis is carried out in the absence of OSDAs.^[19] In the first synthesis of FER, it was named Sr-D zeolite as reported by Barrer et al. in 1964, and it was prepared in the absence of organic molecules as a strontium-containing silicoaluminate.^[20] In the last decade, different groups have been able to synthesize FER with a Si/Al ratio of almost 15 under OSDA-free synthesis conditions by means of a seeding methodology.^[21] This is a very attractive advantage in comparison to other reported OSDA-free zeolites that have only been prepared with much lower Si/Al ratios.

One of the most interesting features of FER is the presence of the so-called FER "cavities" at the intersection of the 10- and 8-ring channels, which are only accessible through the 8-ring window (see FER cavity in Figure 2A). Thus, the




Figure 2. A) The FER cavity and B) FER assembly using cooperative OSDA agents. Reproduced from Ref. [18d].

control of the aluminum distribution within the FER structure should determine the acid-site accessibility and, consequently, the catalytic activity/selectivity.^[22] Perez-Pariente et al. have rationalized the use of cooperative structuredirecting agents to control the aluminum distribution within the FER zeolite (see Figure 2B). [18d,23] They prepared different ferrierite samples containing similar Si/Al ratios but using different combinations of organic molecules as OSDAs, such as tetramethylammonium (TMA) and pyrrolidine (PYR), TMA and 1-benzyl-1-methylpyrrolidium (BMP), and finally, only PYR molecules. Following this strategy, it has been observed that the number of acid sites in the FER cages or in the 10-ring channels varies depending on the combination of OSDAs used, and a correlation between the accessibility of the acid sites and the catalytic activity for 1-butene isomerization has been observed. [23] Moreover, the preferential population of the active sites in the 8-rings using these OSDA mixtures has allowed the activity of FER zeolite to be increased for the very specific carbonylation reaction of dimethyl ether with carbon monoxide. [24] Nevertheless, since mordenite zeolite (MOR, 12 × 8-ring pores) performs better than FER for the carbonylation of dimethyl ether, the benefits of multipore zeolites with confined pockets for this industrially relevant reaction will be discussed in Section 3.3.

The most remarkable application of FER zeolite is as a catalyst for the skeletal isomerization of 1-butene into isobutene.^[25] Isobutene is of interest because it is used to produce methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE), an important gasoline additive used as an octane enhancer. [26] MTBE, although restricted at least partially in 25 states of the US because of environmental issues. [27] is still broadly employed in Europe and Asia. FER is much more effective for 1-butene isomerization than other zeolites, giving isobutene yields close to the thermodynamic equilibrium, and it is used as industrial catalyst. [25,28] It has been reported that the intersected pore system of FER is very specific for the skeletal isomerization of butenes, being responsible of its higher catalytic activity. [29] Nevertheless, the highest isobutene selectivities are observed after the formation of carbonaceous deposits during the initial reaction stages.^[29]



In addition to the isomerization of 1-butene, other interesting descriptions using FER as catalyst are related to the production of light olefins (ethylene, propylene, and butene) by cracking of naphtha or naphtha-related compounds. FER shows higher selectivities towards the desired olefins than other zeolites (i.e. ZSM-5), but much lower catalytic activities because of its hindered diffusion pathways, probably a result of the presence of the small pores. However, in a recent publication, it was shown that combining FER and ZSM-5 results in a maximized olefin yield, with FER avoiding excessive hydrogen-transfer reactions, and ZSM-5 compensating for the lower activity of FER.

2.2. Zeolites with Small and Medium pores Synthesized using Linear Dicationic OSDAs

Different multipore zeolites with intersecting small and medium pores have been synthesized using linear dicationic OSDAs (Figure 3).




Figure 3. OSDAs used for the synthesis of different zeolites with interconnected medium and small pores.

ZSM-57 (MFS topology) is composed of a two-dimensional pore system consisting of 10-ring $(5.1 \times 5.4 \text{ Å})$ pores in the [100] direction intersecting with 8-ring $(3.3 \times 4.8 \text{ Å})$ pores in the [010] direction.[31] In comparison to FER, ZSM-57 presents similar 8-ring, but larger 10-ring pores (FER: 4.2× 5.4 Å). ZSM-57 is synthesized using the linear dicationic N,N,N,N',N',N'-hexaethylpentanediammonium as the OSDA (Figure 3).[32] Interestingly, molecular-modeling studies indicate that these OSDA molecules are placed along the 10-ring channels with their positive charges in the channel intersection/lobes, consequently directing to a preferential positioning of the acid sites in these intersection/lobes.^[33] This process is very interesting because the unique lobate-pore structure of ZSM-57 and the acid site distribution favor the activity and selectivity for alkene oligomerizations that require the crosswise arrangement of alkenes.^[33] ZSM-57 gives higher conversions than other zeolites for the oligomerization of 1butene, with better yields of the desired branched C_8 products.^[33]

TNU-10 (STI topology) is also composed of 10-ring channels $(4.7 \times 5.0 \text{ Å})$ interconnected through 8-ring channels $(2.7 \times 5.6 \text{ Å}).^{[34]}$ The first syntheses of this material was performed in the absence of OSDA, yielding zeolites with very low Si/Al ratios $(3.3–3.6).^{[35]}$ Recently, the use of the divalent linear 1,4-bis(N-methylpyrrolidinium)butane cation as the OSDA (see Figure 3) has allowed the synthesis of a TNU-10 with a Si/Al ratio close to $7.^{[34b]}$ The catalytic properties of TNU-10 have been evaluated for the skeletal isomerization of 1-butene owing to its structural similarities to FER zeolite, which is the preferred catalyst for this reaction. However, as can be seen in Figure 4, TNU-10 gives lower




Figure 4. A) 1-Butene conversion B) and isobutene selectivity for the skeletal isomerization of 1-butene using FER (triangles) and TNU-10 (squares). Reproduced from Ref. [34b].

isobutene selectivity than FER. Hong et al. hypothesized that the reason for this lower isobutene selectivity could be the shape of the 10-ring pores, which are more elliptical for FER (4.2 Å \times 5.4 Å) than for TNU-10 (4.7 \times 5.0 Å). If this is so, 1-butene molecules can find larger intracrystalline space within TNU-10 pores, increasing the chance of side reactions, such as dimerization, and reducing the selectivity towards the desired isobutene. [26b] Very recently, Zones et al. have reported the synthesis of the SSZ-75 zeolite, which also has the STI framework, but this material has been prepared under high silica conditions (Si/Al \times 25). [36] For its synthesis, Zones et al. have also used 1,4-bis(*N*-methylpyrrolidinium)butane as the OSDA, but the synthesis was performed in the presence of fluoride anions.

ITQ-13 (ITH topology) is another molecular sieve with a multipore structure, which is formed by interconnected 10 × 10 × 9-rings with pore diameters of 4.8 Å × 5.7 Å, 4.7 Å × 5.1 Å, and 4.0 Å × 4.9 Å, respectively. [37] ITQ-13 is synthesized using the linear dicationic hexane-1,6-bis(trimethylammonium) as the OSDA (Figure 3). [38] The catalytic activity of this material has been evaluated as an additive for increasing the propylene selectivity during cracking of a vacuum gasoil. [39] When compared to the conventionally used ZSM-5, the presence of interconnected small and medium channels with pore dimensions slightly smaller than those of ZSM-5, improves the shape-selectivity for cracking linear olefins in



the gasoline range, and increases the propylene/propane ratio compared to ZSM-5 from 2.5 to 4 at similar conversions.^[39]

Finally, the use of molecular modeling has allowed the prediction of the most favorable OSDAs to direct the crystallization of the new multipore ITQ-34 zeolite (ITR topology). [40] ITQ-34 is a polymorph of ITQ-13 zeolite containing a different zeolitic sheet stacking, and it also presents an interconnected pore system of $10 \times 10 \times 9$ -rings with pore diameters of 6.03 Å \times 4.87 Å, 5.25 Å \times 4.73 Å, and $4.86 \text{ Å} \times 4.12 \text{ Å}$, respectively. As shown in Figure 3, the preferred OSDA for the synthesis of the ITQ-34 zeolite is the dicationic propane-1,3-bis(trimethylphosphonium). To date, a catalytic application for this material has not been reported, but based on its multipore framework topology, it could be a useful additive for catalytic cracking to improve the propylene yields.

2.3. Zeolites with Small and Medium Pores Prepared from **Lavered Precursors**

There are several examples in which layered silicates have been used as precursors for the synthesis of crystalline zeolitic frameworks, such as MWW, [41] EU-20B, [42] CDS-1, [43] Nu-6, [44] and RWR.[45]

Following the topotactic transformation, Gies et al. have reported the preparation of the multipore RUB-41 zeolite (framework type code RRO) after calcining the layered RUB-39 silicate precursor. [46] This layered precursor is first synthesized under hydrothermal conditions using dimethyldipropylammonium (DMDPA) as the OSDA at 150°C, and later transformed to the novel crystalline zeolite RUB-41 by calcination in air at 600 °C. [46] RUB-41 has a two-dimensional channel system with intersecting 8- and 10-ring pores of $5.0 \text{ Å} \times 2.7 \text{ Å}$ and $6.5 \text{ Å} \times 4.0 \text{ Å}$, respectively. [46,47] This multipore molecular sieve was first synthesized as a pure-silica polymorph, [46,47] and successfully applied for the selective separation of trans-2-butene and cis-2-butene from 1butene. [46] However, for its application as an acid catalyst, the incorporation of Al atoms in the framework of RUB-41 is required. The direct introduction of Al atoms in the RUB-39 synthesis gel mixture, resulted in a limited Al incorporation in the RUB-41 structure after calcination (Si/Al≈120). [48] To improve the Al incorporation, Yilmaz et al. developed a twostep synthesis procedure.^[48] In the first step, a synthesis gel containing the silica precursor, the organic DMDPA molecules acting as OSDAs, and 3-5 wt% seeds of RUB-39 material, was heated in an autoclave at 150°C for 48 h. In a second step, the aluminum precursor was added to the synthesis gel, and introduced in the oven at 150°C for 48 h. [48] Following this two-step procedure, the Si/Al ratio in the final solid could be decreased to 20, with most of these Al atoms in a tetrahedral coordination environment (T-atoms). [48,49]

The catalytic activity of Al-RUB-41 has been studied for the methanol amination reaction. [48] Methylamines are important intermediates in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals, pesticides, or surfactants. The typical products in the methanol amination reactions are monomethylamine (MMA), dimethylamine (DMA), and trimethylamine (TMA). The most demanded products are MMA and DMA but, unfortunately, TMA is the dominant thermodynamic product.^[50] Tijsebaert et al. have shown that Al-RUB-41 notably enhances the production of the desired MMA and DMA products compared to other shape-selective catalysts.^[49] The benefits of the shape-selective structure of Al-RUB-41 are maximized at low Al contents (Si/Al \approx 160), probably because of the decrease in the number of unselective external acid sites.

Very recently, the synthesis of the new multipore IPC-4 zeolite (framework type code PCR) has been described by Russell et al. using the germanosilicate UTL zeolite as precursor.^[51] This germanosilicate can be considered as a high-silica layered material, where these high-silica layers are interconnected by double-4-rings (D4R) units that are preferentially occupied by Ge atoms. The specific location of the Ge atoms in the interlayer region allows the formation of a high-silica layered intermediate by the selective removal of these Ge atoms by hydrolysis under slightly acidic conditions. The final calcination of this layered intermediate results in the crystalline IPC-4 zeolite, which has a two-dimensional channel system with intersecting 8- and 10-ring pores of $4.7 \text{ Å} \times 3.5 \text{ Å}$ and $6.1 \text{ Å} \times 5.1 \text{ Å}$, respectively.^[51]

3. Zeolites with Small and Large Pores

Numerous zeolites presenting interconnected large and small channels have been described in the literature (see Table 1). Unfortunately, the chemical compositions required for the preparation of most of these multipore materials are limited to AlPO-related or to zeolites with low-silica frameworks, yielding materials with low hydrothermal stability in most cases. The archetypal zeolite containing interconnected small and large pores is mordenite (MOR), which can be prepared on a large scale and, very interestingly, its chemical composition and crystal size can be controlled. Consequently, a considerable number of catalytic applications have been reported for MOR, which will be covered in Section 3.3. However, we will first summarize the syntheses and applications of other relevant molecular sieves with interconnected 12 × 8-ring channels.

3.1. AlPO-Related Materials with Interconnected 12×8-Ring **Pores**

Different multipore AlPO-related zeotypes with interconnected 12 × 8-ring pores have been described. SAPO-40 is a silicoaluminophosphate with AFR topology (Figure 5), which consists of a two dimensional channel system with a 12-ring pore (ca. 6.7 Å) along the c axis interconnected with an 8-ring pore (ca. 3.8 Å) along the b axis. [52] SAPO-40 can be prepared using tetrapropylammonium hydroxide as the OSDA, and the acidity of this material can be modulated by controlling the amount and distribution of silicon atoms in the framework. [53] The acidity of SAPO-40 has been evaluated for m-xylene isomerization/disproportionation^[54] and for the ethylbenzene disproportionation.^[55] This material shows lower initial selectivity for isomerization than for dispropor-






Figure 5. Structural similarities between SSZ-51 (SFO) and SAPO-40 (AFR) zeotypes. Reproduced from Ref. [56].

tionation (i/d ratio) in the *m*-xylene isomerization reaction, indicating that the available void volume in SAPO-40 favors the formation of the bulkier transition state required for *m*-xylene disproportionation.^[54] On the other hand, the distribution of the diethylbenzene isomers for the ethylbenzene disproportionation obtained when using SAPO-40 as catalyst is close to the thermodynamic equilibrium, revealing the absence of shape-selectivity.^[55] The high void volume of the structure of SAPO-40 arising from the presence of regular side pockets or lobes could explain these catalytic results.

Recently, researchers at Chevron and Exxon have reported a new AlPO-based material with the SFO framework topology (named SSZ-51^[56] and EMM-8, ^[57] respectively), which is closely related to the structure of SAPO-40. SFO has been synthesized in its aluminophosphate and silicoalumiophosphate forms in the presence of 4-dimethylaminopyridine as the OSDA. ^[56,57] The SFO structure consists of a two-dimensional intersecting channel system, where one of the channels is defined by an 8-ring window along the b-axis, and the other channel is defined by a 12-ring window along the c-axis. The pore topology is essentially the same as that in SAPO-40, but a twofold rotation around the a axis introduces the crystallographic differences between these two highly related frameworks (see Figure 5).

Other metalloaluminophosphates (MAIPOs) containing interconnected large and small pores have also been described, such as magnesioaluminophosphate MAPO-50 (AFY, 12×8×8-rings), [58] magnesioaluminophosphate STA-5 (BPH, 12×8×8-rings), [59] and Co-, Mn-, Co-aluminophosphates UCSB-6, UCSB-8, and UCSB-10 (SBS, 12×12×8-rings; SBE, 12×8-rings; and SBT, 12×12×8, respectively). [60] Catalytic applications for these MAIPOs have not been reported, probably owing to the limited hydrothermal stability of these metal-containing materials. [58]

3.2. Low-Silica Zeolites Containing Interconnected 12×8-Ring Pores

Different zeolites with intersected 12- and 8-pores, such as UZM-4 (BPH, $12 \times 8 \times 8$ -rings, Si/Al \approx 2), [61] ZSM-10 (MOZ, $12 \times 12 \times 8$ -rings, Si/Al \approx 4), [62] and offretite (OFF, 12×10 -rings, Si/Al \approx 3–8), [63] have been reported having low Si/Al ratios.

The first silicoaluminate synthesized with BPH structure was zeolite Q, which was prepared in the presence of different inorganic cations leading to Si/Al ratios of 1. [64] The Si/Al ratio could be increased to almost 2 by using a mixture of Li⁺, TMA⁺, and TEA⁺ as templates in the case of UZM-4 zeolite, [61] and to almost 6 by combining the above template mixture and the presence of pre-formed UZM-4 crystals as seeds. [65] BPH topology shows a three-dimensional pore system with a straight 12-ring channel (6.3×6.3 Å, Figure 6)




Figure 6. Structures of BPH, MOZ, and OFF.

along the c axis, interconnected by two 8-ring pores $(2.7 \times 3.5 \text{ Å})$ along the plane ab.

Zeolite ZSM-10, which has the MOZ topology, was synthesized with potassium and 1,4-dimethyl-1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]nonane dications as the OSDA, giving a Si/Al ratio in the final solid of almost $4.^{[62,66]}$ MOZ topology shows two different one-dimensional 12-ring channels along the c axis (Figure 6), with one of these channels being identical to the 12-ring sinusoidal channel of the LTL zeolite $(6.8 \times 7.0 \text{ Å})$, and the other to the 12-ring channel of OFF $(6.8 \times 6.8 \text{ Å})$. Both large-pore channels are intersected by 8-ring pores $(3.8 \times 4.8 \text{ Å})$.

Finally, the early syntheses of pure OFF zeolite were reported using sodium and potassium cations as inorganic SDAs, resulting in verylow-silica materials (Si/Al \approx 3–5). $^{[67]}$ However, Sano et al. have recently increased the Si/Al ratio to almost 8 in the final OFF zeolite, using dealuminated FAU zeolites as silicoaluminate precursors in the presence of benzyltrimethylammonium, lithium, and sodium cations. $^{[63]}$

OFF has a two-dimensional pore system of 12-ring channels $(6.7 \times 6.8 \text{ Å}, \text{ Figure 6})$ along the c axis, interconnected by 8-ring channels $(3.6 \times 4.9 \text{ Å})$ along the a axis. Catalytic results have been described for the offretite, such



as m-xylene isomerization, [68] and more recently, selective catalytic reduction of NO_x with ammonia on the Cu^{II} -ion exchanged zeolite. [69] Other potential applications of OFF have also been described for oil dewaxing [70] or naphthalene cracking, [71] although its use is strongly limited by its low resistance to coking and high catalyst deactivation rates. [72]

In general, the catalytic applications of low-silica materials are restricted by their low acidity and low hydrothermal stability. In this sense, synthesis procedures directed to increase the Si/Al ratio of the above materials can result in zeolite samples that can undergo further post-synthesis treatments. This process will open the possibility of using those zeolites for different catalytic processes.

3.3. Mordenite

The framework of MOR shows parallel 12-ring pores $(6.5 \times 7.0 \text{ Å})$ and 8-ring pores $(2.6 \times 5.7 \text{ Å})$ along the *c* axis, interconnected by 8-ring pores $(3.4 \times 4.8 \text{ Å})$ along the *b* axis (Figure 7).^[73] This material can be synthesized under a broad




Figure 7. Views of MOR structure A) along the 12-ring pores B) along the 8-ring pores. C,D) different crystallographic positions for T-atoms in MOR. Reproduced from Ref. [17c].

range of synthesis conditions, allowing the control of the framework Si/Al ratio (4 to 25) by direct synthesis, [74] the crystal sizes (from 20 nm to 160 μm),^[75] crystal morphologies (needles, flat crystallites, acicular crystals, prismatic crystals, among others), [75a,76] and even the aluminum distributions within the MOR crystals.^[77] As a result of this large synthetic spectrum, MOR has become one of the most important industrial catalysts with applications in different chemical processes, such as alkylation, dewaxing, reforming, cracking, or hydroisomerization reactions.^[75c,78] For instance, a highly sulfur-resistant metal-based MOR catalyst is being applied commercially for light naphtha isomerization^[2c,79] to increase the octane number. However, despite the multipore nature of MOR (interconnected large and small pores), this zeolite is considered as a one-dimensional large-pore framework when used as a catalyst for most of the above described industrial applications owing to the small size of the 8-ring pores, [75c] and very few examples can be found where the 8-ring pores are considered to play a significant role.

One case that clearly shows the relevance of the presence of the 8-ring pores is the high rate of coke oxidation observed for MOR, comparable to that of the three-dimensional HY. This property was attributed to the diffusion of oxygen not only through the 12-ring pores, which are blocked by the carbonaceous compounds, but also through the 8-ring channels.^[80]

There is another case in which the presence of the 8-ring pores in the mordenite has been shown to be key for the catalytic performance. This is the selective carbonylation of methanol and dimethyl ether with CO to acetic acid. [16c,17a,81] Acetic acid is industrially produced using organometallic complexes as catalysts and iodide compounds as promoters. [82] Thus, the replacement of the homogeneous catalyst by a solid catalyst able to catalyze the carbonylation reaction in absence of iodides would be industrially relevant. Researchers at BP first described that Cu-MOR catalysts were active and selective for the carbonylation of methanol in the absence of any halide promoter. [81a,b] Then, Iglesia et al. found that the carbon-carbon formation by CO insertion into chemisorbed methyl groups occurs selectively within 8-ring pores of some acid zeolites, and MOR is particularly active compared to other 8-ring pore zeolites (e.g. FER).[17a] Indeed, dimethyl ether carbonylation rates are proportional to the number of acid sites within the 8-ring pores.[17a]

Looking at the structure of MOR, it is possible to see the side pockets in the intersections of 12- and 8-ring pores (Figure 7B). Moreover, there are four non-equivalent tetrahedral sites (T-atoms) in the unit cell of MOR (T1 in the 12ring channel, T2 and T4 in the intersection between the 12ring channel and 8-ring pocket, and T3 inside the 8-ring pocket).[16c] Theoretical work shows that methanol carbonylation only occurs selectively on the T3 site, which is inside the 8-ring pockets (Figure 7 C). [16c,17c] This high selectivity can be explained by the unusual orientation of the chemisorbed methoxy group in this confined site, allowing a good fit between the linear transition state and the stabilizing framework oxygen atoms. In all other positions, undesired reactions (such as formation of dimethyl ether or hydrocarbons) compete with the carbonylation reaction, driving into the catalyst deactivation.

Beyond the benefits of the multipore topologies, the carbonylation of methanol catalyzed by MOR is a particularly interesting case of site specificity upon confinement that was not described previously. This example reveals the remarkable impact that the rationalization of zeolite synthesis can have on shape-selective catalysis, not only in terms of achieving new pore topologies, but also for introducing the framework Al, and therefore active sites, in particular framework positions.

4. Zeolites with Medium and Large pores

The first zeolite described presenting interconnected medium and large pores in the same structure was the naturally occurring mineral boggsite. [83] This discovery revealed the possibility of preparing structures with intersecting large and medium channels, which should allow prefer-



ential diffusion pathways for reactants and products of different sizes, as compared to zeolites with exclusively large or medium pores. Recently, boggsite has been synthesized in the laboratory within a larger framework Si/Al composition and it is named ITQ-47. We will show in the following Sections that multipore zeolites with medium and large pores show exceptional catalytic activities not previously seen when used as shape-selective catalyst.^[84]

4.1. The CON Family (SSZ-26, SSZ-33, CIT-1, and ITQ-24)

The SSZ-26 and SSZ-33 zeolites were the first synthetic zeolites reported containing interconnected 12- and 10-ring pores. [85] Unfortunately, as it will be shown below, these materials perform as large cages connected by 10- and 12-ring windows rather than as catalysts with well-defined intersecting 10- and 12-ring channels. These zeolites are members of a family of crystalline materials, known as the CON family, for which, initially, two different polymorphs with different stacking layers were proposed (ABAB... sequence and ABCABC... sequence for polymorphs A and B, respectively; Figure 8). [86] In the case of SSZ-26 and SSZ-33, both materials are formed by the intergrowth of the two proposed polymorphs, and contain A/B polymorph mixtures of 15:85 and 30:70, respectively. [86] SSZ-26 and SSZ-33 are prepared as aluminosilicate and borosilicate forms, respectively, using




Figure 8. Framework structures of A) polymorph A, B) polymorph B, and C) polymorph C of the CON family viewed along the 10-ring pores. Reproduced from Ref. [86].




Figure 9. OSDAs used for the synthesis of A) SSZ-26, and B) SSZ-33, and C) OSDA distribution within the SSZ-26. Reproduced from Ref. [86].

similar polycyclic ammonium OSDAs (Figure 9 A,B). [86] It has been reported that, at least in the case of SSZ-26, there is a clear relationship between the organic structure-directing agent and the pore geometry (Figure 9 C). [86] In addition to SSZ-26 and SSZ-33, Davis et al. were able to synthesize the pure crystalline polymorph B form of the CON-family (Figure 8), which was named CIT-1 zeolite. [87] This material was synthesized in its borosilicate form using *N,N,N*-trimethyl *cis*-myrtanylammonium as the OSDA. [87]

In addition to the polymorphs A and B of the CON family, a third polymorph (named polymorph C, see Figure 8) was also proposed.[86] This hypothetical polymorph was constructed from the stacking of polymorph A layers following an AAA... stacking sequence. [86] Although Lobo et al. did not observe any experimental evidence for the presence of the polymorph C, they suggested that its preparation may be possible by properly rationalizing the synthesis conditions. Indeed, we considered that the main structural difference between the hypothetical polymorph C of the CON family and the others, is that polymorph C contains double-4-rings (D4R) as the secondary building units (SBU). Then, since we demonstrated that Ge could direct a synthesis to give new zeolitic frameworks containing D4R, [88] it was logical to attempt the synthesis of the polymorph C of CON in the presence of Ge. Following the Ge approach, the pure polymorph C in its silicogermanate form (named ITQ-24, with a Si/Ge ratio of ca. 5), and using hexamethonium as the OSDA was achieved. [89] Thus, by further rationalization we were able to perform the synthesis of ITQ-24 under Ge-free conditions. [90] We considered that the introduction of B instead of Ge would give small changes on the Si-O-X angles (where X could be Ge or B), and fluoride anions could stabilize the D4Rs present in ITQ-24 zeolite. Furthermore, the use of bulky and rigid bicyclic OSDAs (see for instance the OSDA shown in Figure 10B) would decrease the positive charges when filling the void volume of ITQ-24, increasing, therefore, the framework Si/Al ratio. Following the above hypothesis, the Ge-free borosilicate form of ITQ-24 was successfully achieved using the medium-sized dicationic hexamethonium cation as the OSDA, and the pure silica ITQ-24 form was synthesized using the bulky and rigid OSDA shown in Figure 10B.[90]

The catalytic behavior of CIT-1/SSZ-33/SSZ-26 has been evaluated for the isomerization/disproportionation of m-




Figure 10. OSDAs used for the synthesis of A) MCM-68, B) ITQ-24, and C) ITO-47.






Figure 11. Ratios of A) p-xylene/o-xylene and B) isomerization/disproportionation (i/d) for different zeolites based on window size. i/d > 25for EU-1, ZSM-5, and MCM-22. Reproduced from Ref. [91a].

xylene.^[91] CIT-1/SSZ-33/SSZ-26 materials reveal catalytic properties that resemble more those of 12-ring pores rather than those of 10-ring pores.^[91a] In general, medium-pore zeolites (i.e. EU-1 or ZSM-5 zeolites) show p-xylene/o-xylene (p/o) ratios above 2.5 while large-pore zeolites give values close to 1 (i.e. Beta zeolite). [68b,92] As seen in Figure 11, CIT-1/ SSZ-33/SSZ-26 materials show p/o ratios around 1.3-1.5, values which are more characteristic of large-pore zeolites. SSZ-33 and CIT-1 also show intermediate isomerization/ disproportionation (i/d) ratios (7-10), similar to the values reported for large-pore zeolites. The typical (i/d) ratios obtained with medium-pore zeolites are much higher (above 25) since disproportionation reactions, which requires large void spaces, are sterically hindered within medium pores. From these results, Davis et al. concluded that CIT-1/ SSZ-33/SSZ-26 materials behave as an ensemble of large cages connected by 10- and 12-ring windows rather than as a material with well-defined intersecting 10- and 12-ring channels.[91]

In agreement with the above results, a study of the diffusion of para- and ortho-xylene through this family of zeolites^[93] shows that the diffusion of o-xylene occurs exclusively through the 12-ring channels, while p-xylene can diffuse through the 12- and 10-ring pores. Interestingly, molecular modelling studies reveal that, although o-xylene cannot diffuse through the 10-ring pores, it spends considerable time, according to the simulation, diffusing through the intersection of the 12- and 10-ring channels. This result means that large molecules, for instance trimethylbenzenes, will not diffuse through the 10-ring pores but can penetrate through the openings of these 10-ring channels. Taking into account all of the above, it appears that the multipore zeolites belonging to the CON family should be considered as zeolitic structures formed by large void volumes connected by 12- and 10-ring windows, and not as multipore structures with well-defined pores of different dimensions.

4.2. Boggsite

Boggsite (BOG) was the first natural zeolitic material discovered containing interconnected large and medium pores.[83] Unfortunately, this mineral has only been found in minor quantities in Oregon and the Antarctica. [83,94] Since the discovery of this zeolite in its natural form, the possibility to synthesize this material in the laboratory became a highly challenging subject in zeolite synthesis. [93a] Very recently, the synthetic BOG structure, named as ITQ-47, has been obtained as borosilicate form by using, for the first time, phosphazene molecules as OSDAs. [95] Interestingly, phosphazene-derived molecules are very attractive OSDAs since a broad family of molecules can be easily prepared by simple substituent modifications.^[95] The selection of the most adequate OSDA for the BOG synthesis was previously evaluated by molecular modelling. This theoretical analysis predicted the optimum stabilization of BOG when the OSDA shown in Figure 10 C was used. Then, a complete experimental design studying the combination of this OSDA together with other relevant variables allowed the synthesis of ITQ-47 zeolite with the BOG framework topology. [95] ITQ-47 structure contains a two-dimensional pore system presenting 12-ring straight channels with circular openings of 7 Å, interconnected to 10-ring channels with pore openings of 5.5×5.3 Å.

The catalytic activity of the Al-exchanged ITQ-47 was evaluated for liquid-phase alkylation of benzene with propylene to produce cumene. Due to its structure, with interconnected 12- and 10-ring channels, ITQ-47 was expected to be not only adequate, but also more selective for the desired mono-alkylation product than the 12-ring Beta zeolite, which is commercially employed for the production of cumene. [95] The catalytic results confirm this assumption, and ITQ-47 gives higher cumene selectivities and lower yields of undesired secondary products, such as n-propylbenzene, when compared to the large pore Beta zeolite.

4.3. MCM-68

MCM-68, a molecular sieve with MSE topology, shows a multipore system with interconnected and well-defined 12 × 10×10 -ring pores. [96] The synthesis of this MCM-68 was first reported by researchers at ExxonMobil in its silicoaluminate (Si/Al≈9-12) form and using the OSDA shown in Figure 10 A. [96] It is important to note that this OSDA is very similar in shape, size, and form to the OSDA used for the synthesis of the pure silica ITQ-24 (Figure 10B), [90] both molecules being very selective OSDAs for crystallizing multipore zeolite containing interconnected large and medium pores.

The silicoaluminate form of MCM-68 has been tested for different acid-catalyzed reactions, and MCM-68 zeolite performs as an efficient catalyst for the shape selective alkylation of biphenyl^[97] and naphthalene.^[98] Indeed, very high selectivities towards 4,4'-diisopropylbiphenyl (ca. 80%), 4,4'-di-sec-butylbiphenyl (ca. 90%), and 4,4'-di-tert-butylbiphenyl (ca. 95%), have been reported for the biphenyl isopropylation, sec-butylation, and tert-butylation, respectively.^[97,98] Shape-selectivity increased with the increasing size of the alkylating agent and, in fact, shape-selectivity for alkylating naphthalene was only noticeable for the bulkier 1butene and isobutene. [98] In both cases, partial dealumination



of the zeolite to Si/Al values around 70 was seen to improve catalytic activity. These high selectivities have been explained by the restricted transition-state mechanism inside the straight 12-ring channels, precluding the transition states to form bulky isomers. Lower selectivities have been observed for CIT-1 ($12 \times 12 \times 10$ -ring pores), and Beta ($12 \times 12 \times 12$ -ring pores) because of their larger space at their channel intersections.^[99] This is a clear example of the different selectivities observed when comparing multipore structures with well-defined discrete pores, such as MCM-68, and others, such as CIT-1, which can be considered as a zeolite with 12ring pores connected by 10-ring windows that have a catalytic behavior closer to that of the large-pore Beta.

Partially dealuminated MCM-68 zeolite has also been shown to be an efficient catalyst for the selective formation of propylene by hexane cracking.^[100] Dealuminated MCM-68 (Si/Al \approx 50) shows higher propylene selectivity (ca. 50%) compared to other zeolite catalysts (MFI, MOR, or Beta) within the reaction temperature range studied (450 to 600 °C), [100] indicating that MCM-68 could be a good candidate to be used as a FCC additive for increasing the propylene production. It was speculated that the hexane cracking must occur on the acid sites predominantly present in the welldefined 10-ring pores of the dealuminated MCM-68 zeolites. Thus, a selective dealumination of MCM-68 involving the framework Al atoms facing the 12-ring pores is suggested. Moreover, the specific multipore structure of MCM-68 with continuous 12- and 10- ring pores, and the selective dealumination of the large pores were also related to its improved coking tolerance compared to the other zeolites studied.

Finally, the titanosilicate form of the MCM-68 zeolite has also been synthesized by a simple post-synthetic isomorphous substitution of Ti for Al.[101] Ti-MCM-68 has been tested for the industrially relevant phenol hydroxylation reaction using H₂O₂ as the oxidant, resulting in higher para-selectivity and higher H₂O₂ efficiency, compared to industrially useful TS-1.[101] The high activity was related to the higher diffusivity through 12-ring versus 10-ring channels, whereas the high para-selectivity was ascribed to the absence of large inner cavities.

4.4. Zeolites of the SSZ Family Containing Large and Medium Pores (SSZ-56, SSZ-57, and SSZ-82)

In recent years, researchers at Chevron have reported three new zeolites presenting large and medium pores in the same structure, that is, SSZ-56, SSZ-57, and SSZ-82. Zeolite SSZ-56 (SFS) is a borosilicate with a two-dimensional channel system of intersecting 10-ring pores with openings of 5.5 × 4.8 Å, and 12-ring pores with elliptical openings of 8.4×5.9 Å (Figure 12 A). [102] The most interesting feature of the SSZ-56 synthesis is the extremely high isomer specificity required for the OSDAs used in its preparation. SSZ-56 synthesis strictly requires the presence of the trans-fused isomer of N,Ndiethyl-2-methyldecahydroquinolinium as OSDA, because the presence of the cis-fused isomer results in the formation of layered or dense phases.[102]




Figure 12. Zeolitic frameworks of A) SSZ-5, B) SSZ-82, and C) SSZ-57, and the corresponding OSDAs used for their syntheses.

SSZ-82 (SEW) shows a two-dimensional interconnected 10-/12-ring channel system, where the 10-ring pores form a zigzag channel with openings of 4.9×5.5 Å along the a axis. These 10-ring pores intersect with the straight 12-ring pores with elliptical openings of $5.2 \times 8 \text{ Å}$ along the b axis (Figure 12B).[103] This multipore zeolite can be synthesized in borosilicate form using 1,6-bis(N-cyclohexylpyrrolidinium)hexane dication as the OSDA.[104]

Recently, Zones et al. have reported the synthesis of the SSZ-57 (SFV topology).[105] This material presents large domains of intersecting 10-ring pores, which are interrupted every 110 Å by isolated and well-defined large pores (the 12ring:10-ring ratio is 1:15, Figure 12C). This is one of the most complex zeolitic structures solved, with 99 Si atoms in the asymmetric unit, and advanced crystallographic techniques (structure solution in four-dimensional space and interpretation of three-dimensional diffuse scattering by Monte Carlo simulation) were required for its structure solution. [105] SSZ-57 can be directly synthesized in its aluminosilicate and borosilicate forms. The direct synthesis of the aluminosilicate SSZ-57 results in an acid material that is catalytically active for some model reaction, such as the conversion of methanol into hydrocarbons, xylene isomerization, or catalytic cracking.[106] In contrast, the borosilicate form of SSZ-57 shows an acid strength too weak to be active in hydrocarbon conversion reactions. Nevertheless, an isomorphic substitution of B with Al atoms can be performed under acid conditions.[107] Interestingly, this post-synthetic isomorphic heteroatom substitution only occurs in the large pores. Thus, following this procedure, it has been possible to prepare the SSZ-57LP material, in which the strongly acidic sites are only found within the large pores of the SSZ-57 zeolite.[108] This preferential distribution of acid sites results in significant differences regarding catalytic selectivity, as compared to regular Al-SSZ-57, with a catalytic behavior closer to pure large-pore zeolites.[109]



4.5. ITQ-22

ITQ-22 (IWW) is a zeolite that has interconnected 8-, 10-, and 12-ring channels with pore apertures of 4.5×3.3 , 5.9×5.0 , and 6.7×6.7 Å, respectively, within the same structure. [110] The channel system of this zeolite is three-dimensional with the 12- and 8-ring pores running along the c axis, which are intersected by a sinusoidal 10-ring pore running perpendicular to the c axis (Figure 13). This unique zeolite has been




Figure 13. Structure model of ITQ-22 showing the 8- and 12-ring pores intersected by the sinusoidal 10-ring pore (black ribbon). Reproduced from Ref. [110].

synthesized by combining the organic molecule 1,5-bis-(methylpyrrolidium)pentane as the OSDA, and the structure-directing effect of Ge towards D4Rs.^[110]

The catalytic activity of ITQ-22 was first evaluated for the m-xylene isomerization and disproportionation. [110] It shows an intermediate catalytic behavior for the m-xylene isomerization as compared to zeolites with exclusively 10- or 12-ring pores (p/o ratios of 1.2, 2.3, and 1.8 for Beta, ZSM-5, and ITQ-22, respectively).[110] A similar intermediate catalytic behavior is also observed when analyzing the isomerization/ disproportionation (i/d) ratios (2.7, 34.3, and 9.1 for Beta, ZSM-5, and ITQ-22, respectively). Moreover, a significant difference can be observed between the i/d ratio for ITQ-22 and SSZ-33, which is another multipore zeolite with interconnected medium and large pores (the i/d ratios are 1.8 and 9.1 for SSZ-33 and ITQ-22, respectively). SSZ-33 performs similarly to large-pore zeolites, and the reason for this is that the structure of SSZ-33 can be considered as a large-pore zeolite with 10-ring windows connecting adjacent 12-ring pores. In contrast, ITQ-22 shows a real intermediate behavior because of the steric hindrance imposed by the 10-ring pores to the formation of bulky transition-state complexes, revealing that in the case of ITQ-22 there are continuous 10-ring channels communicating between two neighboring 12-ring channels.[110]

ITQ-22 is a very active and selective catalyst, not only for the synthesis of ethylbenzene and cumene, by alkylating benzene with ethanol and 2-propanol, respectively, in the vapor phase (Figure 14), but also for the liquid-phase production of cumene by alkylation of benzene with propene under reaction conditions representative of the industrial




Figure 14. A) Benzene conversion and B) ethylbenzene (EB) selectivity for benzene alkylation with ethanol for ZSM-5 (squares), ITQ-22 (circles), SSZ-33 (triangles), and Beta (crosses). Reproduced from Ref. [15f].

process.^[15f] The higher selectivity to cumene compared to ZSM-5 and Beta zeolites can be explained by two reasons: the multipore nature of the ITQ-22 zeolite, which enhances the diffusion of primary products before being involved in undesired consecutive reactions and the preferential location of the acid sites in the intersections of the 10- and 12-ring pores, as revealed by theoretical calculations.^[15f] It is important to note that the ITQ-22, despite containing Ge, remains stable after calcination at 580°C.^[15f]

Very interestingly, two different groups have recently described the preparation of highly degermanated ITQ-22 zeolites by post-synthetic acid treatments, followed by the incorporation of Si and/or Al species at created vacant sites.^[111] These procedures allow the synthesis of well-structured high-silica ITQ-22 zeolites with good acid properties, and improved hydrothermal stabilities.

4.6. ITQ-39/ITQ-38

Very recently, the selection of a type of OSDAs for the specific synthesis of multipore zeolites containing interconnected large and medium pores has been rationalized.[112] To do this, an OSDA that combines rigidity (introduced by a piperidine-derivative molecule), and certain flexibility (introduced by flexible propyl/ethyl chains, see Figure 15 A) was designed. [112] The selection of this structure was based on the fact that the rigid piperidine-derivative OSDAs were able to direct the crystallization of large-pore zeolites (such as ZSM-12, Figure 15 A) while flexible OSDAs containing propyl chains were effective OSDAs for medium-pore zeolites (such as ZSM-5, Figure 15A). Thus, by using the OSDA with combined rigid and flexible molecular components (Figure 15A), the synthesis was directed toward the crystallization of the new ITQ-39 zeolite containing interconnected large and medium pores in the same structure (Figure 15B).[84,113] ITQ-39 is formed by the intergrowth of three related polymorphs, all of them containing straight pairwise 12-ring pores along the b direction, interconnected by zigzag 10-ring channels along the a and c directions (Figure 15B). Owing to the presence of three different polymorphs containing stacking faults and twinning with nano-sized domains, this zeolitic structure is the most complex ever solved.[84]






Figure 15. A) Multifunctional OSDA for the direct synthesis of zeolites with interconnected large and medium pores. B) multipore structure of ITQ-39 zeolite. Reproduced from Ref. [84, 112].

ITQ-39 can be prepared under a broad range of Si/Al ratios (from 10 to pure silica), making this material very attractive regarding its potential application for industrially relevant chemical processes. Indeed, ITQ-39 has been applied as a good catalyst for the conversion of low-value naphtha fractions into diesel. This process involves the alkylation of aromatics with olefins present in the same or in two different low-valued naphtha streams, to produce monoalkylated products in the diesel range. As shown in Figure 16, ITQ-39




Figure 16. The catalytic results of ITQ-39, Beta, and MWW for the alkylation of naphtha with olefins. TOS = time on stream. Reproduced from Ref. [84, 112].

performs better than commercially available Beta and MWW materials, giving higher conversions, better yields, and longer catalyst lifetimes. [84] Although Beta shows a higher initial activity, it deactivates fast and yields less diesel with increasing time on stream (Figure 16). The excellent catalytic behavior of ITQ-39 for naphtha alkylation can be explained by its unique multipore topology. The straight 12-ring channels facilitate the formation and diffusion of alkylated products, while the 10-ring pores avoid the olefin oligomerization, and thus, the coke formation.

The titanosilicate form of the ITQ-39 was also prepared, leading to the first direct synthesis of a multipore metal-losilicate containing interconnected large and medium pores. [114] Preliminary results have shown that Ti-ITQ-39 is very active for the selective oxidation of olefins with H_2O_2 .

In addition to ITQ-39 zeolite, the synthesis of a new multipore zeolite containing interconnected large and medium pores, ITQ-38, has been reported. This zeolite can be prepared by the combination of a dicationic piperidine-derivative OSDA with germanium atoms. ITQ-38 structure is formed by a three-dimensional framework comprising straight 10- and 12-ring channels along b direction, and zigzag 10-ring channels along a and c. The synthesis of a new multipolic property of the synthesis of a new multipolic property of the synthesis of a new multipolic property.

4.7. Zeolites with Interconnected Large and Medium Pores Synthesized from Layered Precursors

FER, a multipore zeolite which has a structure with interconnected small and medium pores, has been described in Section 2.1. However, FER-related materials are also known to be precursors for the synthesis of other multipore zeolites. Indeed, it has been described that the crystalline layered precursor of FER, named PREFER, [116] can be converted into a new open multipore structure, APZ-4, by pillaring the interlayer region with Si atoms (Figure 17 A). [117] In this case, the multipore APZ-4 zeolite formed shows interconnected 12×10-ring pores, where the structure is




Figure 17. Zeolites with interconnected large and medium pores synthesized from layered precursors: A) APZ-4 from PREFER, and B) COK-14 from UTL. Reproduced from Ref. [117] and [118] respectively.



formed by SiO₂(-OH)₂ bridges between terminal oxygen atoms of neighboring silicate layers (Figure 17 A). To obtain this multipore structure, the original PREFER material is treated following an acid treatment using aqueous HCl, laterallowing the migration of some Si fragments into the interlayer space. APZ-4 shows high hydrophilicity owing to the presence of a large number of hydroxy groups in the interlayer region. To our knowledge, this material has only been synthesized in the pure silica form, and the synthesis of other APZ-4 polymorphs, such as the aluminosilicate and the titanosilicate forms, would be very interesting to evaluate their catalytic properties in different chemical processes.

The preparation of other multipore zeolites presenting large and medium pores in their structures through the inverse sigma transformation has recently been described. [118] This concept is based on the transformation of a known zeolite into a new structure by framework contraction through selective removal of a layer of framework atoms. Based on this idea, Verheyen et al. proposed the transformation of the silicogermanate UTL,[119] which was the first multipore extra-large-pore zeolite described containing 14× 12-ring pores (see Section 5.1) into a new multipore zeolite with interconnected large and medium pores. They claimed that the preferential location of germanium atoms in the double-4-rings (D4R) units in the UTL structure (Figure 17 B, left), combined with their high reactivity in strongly acidic conditions, favor the selective removal of Ge in D4R from the UTL framework resulting in a new zeolite (Figure 17B). Indeed, this methodology has allowed the synthesis of the new high-silica zeolite COK-14 (OKO), which has not only a new multipore 12×10-pore topology, but also shows a good hydrothermal stability.[118] A second zeolite with the OKO pore topology, IPC-2, has also been prepared following this methodology. Both COK-14 and IPC-2 zeolites have been prepared as almost pure silica polymorphs, and thus, the preparation of the aluminosilicate form of these materials is a matter of interest to evaluate their catalytic properties.

5. Zeolites with Extra-Large Pores Interconnected with Smaller Pores

In recent years, various multipore zeolites containing extra-large pores have been reported.[112,120] Most of these extra-large-pore zeolites contain a large amount of Ge atoms in their framework. [112,120] Several years ago, Corma et al. proposed the introduction of Ge atoms into the synthesis of zeolites as inorganic structure-directing agents to favor the crystallization of molecular sieves with D4R with the objective of preparing zeolite structures with low framework densities (FD, the number of atoms in tetrahedral coordination environments (T-atoms) per 1000 Å³) and, hopefully, with extra-large pores.[121] This hypothesis was based on the theoretical prediction made by Brunner and Meier for pure silica zeolites, where a relationship was suggested between zeolites with low FD and the presence of 3- and 4-rings in their structures. [122] Since the crystallization of pure silica zeolites containing these small rings was difficult, they considered that the introduction of Ge would preserve the framework electroneutrality while changing the T-O-T angles in the correct way, facilitating the formation and stabilization of these small rings (as for instance double-3-rings, D3R, and double-4-rings, D4Rs). This initial hypothesis was validated both theoretically and experimentally and, since then, a large number of silicogermanates with low FD, and particularly, with extra-large-pore architectures have been reported following this concept. Some of these extralarge-pore zeolites have multipore structures, offering unique "molecular traffic control" opportunities for chemical processes involving bulky and small molecules.

5.1. ITQ-15/IM-12

The first publication on a zeolite with interconnected extra-large and large pores was reported by Corma et al. in the patent literature, and was named ITQ-15. [124] The structure was published simultaneously by the groups based in Mulhouse, as IM-12, [119b] and Valencia, as ITQ-15, [119a] and it has the IZA code UTL. The pore system of UTL consists of an extra-large 14-ring channel along the c axis with openings of 10×6.7 Å, intersected perpendicularly by a 12-ring channel, along the b axis, with pore openings of 8.4×5.8 Å (see framework topology in Figure 18). [119] In both cases, alumi-




Figure 18. Structures of multipore zeolites containing extra-large pores.

num atoms can be introduced into the UTL frameworks, creating Brønsted acid sites for catalytic applications. Pyridine adsorption–desorption experiments indicate the presence of strongly acidic sites in ITQ-15. [19a]

The catalytic benefit of combining extra-large and large pores in the same structure can be observed in the deal-kylation experiments of two molecules with different sizes, triisopropylbenzene (TIPB) and diisopropylbenzene (DIPB), when compared with a one-dimensional extra-large pore zeolite, such as UTD-1. [119a] The kinetic reaction rates for dealkylation of the bulkier TIPB molecule are similar for ITQ-15 and UTD-1, since TIPB can only diffuse through the 14-ring channel present in both zeolites. In contrast, the



dealkylation rate for DIPB is much higher for ITQ-15 because this smaller molecule can diffuse through 14- and 12-ring pores present in ITQ-15, while only through the one-dimensional 14-ring pore in UTD-1 zeolite.

Extra-large-pore UTL zeolite has also been tested for the hydroisomerization of *n*-decane, [125] which is a reaction whose product distribution is very sensitive to the diameter of the accessible pores, and for aromatic transformation/revalorization. [126] Thus, Kirschhock et al. have reported that the UTL zeolite shows higher selectivity towards the bulky 3- and 4-ethyloctane isomers than other medium- and large-pore zeolites, during the hydroconversion of *n*-decane. [125] Cejka et al. reported that UTL is highly selective to cymene with almost no formation of the undesired *n*-propyltoluene product for toluene alkylation with isopropyl alcohol. [126] The formation of xylenes by toluene disproportionation and trimethylbenzene disproportionation/isomerization are also favored on UTL as compared to BEA and MFI, [126] in agreement with the presence of extra-large pores in UTL.

5.2. ITQ-33

The success in the synthesis of the two-dimensional extralarge-pore ITQ-15 motivated us to open new synthetic approaches to rationalize the preparation of more open multipore zeolites for their application as efficient catalysts. Previous experience using small and flexible OSDAs, for example hexamethonium, allowed us to synthesize zeolitic materials with different pore topologies thanks to the large number of conformations that these flexible OSDAs can take under particular synthesis conditions.[112] Thus, very flexible and unselective OSDAs were combined with inorganic directing agents, such as Ge atoms and F anions, which stabilize the formation of small SBUs, such as D4R or 3-rings. The objective was again the synthesis of multidimensional extra-large-pore zeolites with interconnected pores.[3] Thus, an experimental design was proposed combining the use of inorganic and organic structure-directing agents, while using high-throughput synthesis methods.[123a,127] Following this accelerated synthesis methodology, the synthesis was directed towards the crystallization of a new extra-large-pore purephase zeolite, named ITQ-33, from initial mixtures in which ITQ-33 was only present as a minor phase. [123a, 127]

The framework structure of ITQ-33 zeolite is unique, it has straight extra-large 18-ring channels with pore openings of 12.2 Å (Figure 18 and Figure 19 C), interconnected by a two-dimensional system of 10-ring channels with pore

openings of 6.1×4.3 Å Figure 19 D). [123a] This very open three-dimensional pore topology presents 12.3 T-atoms per 1000 Å³, which was the lowest FD ever reported for a crystalline zeolite at that time. ITQ-33 could be prepared with framework aluminum, resulting in a material with Brønsted acidity. The presence of Brønsted acid sites combined with




Figure 19. A) Common building unit of ITQ-33 and ITQ-44, B) common zeolitic layer for ITQ-33 and ITQ-44, C) view of the 18-ring pores of ITQ-33 and ITQ-44, D) view of the 10-ring pores of ITQ-33, E) view of the 12-ring pores of ITQ-44. Reproduced from Ref. [117c].




Figure 20. Propylene conversion using ITQ-33 (filled circles) and Beta (open circles). Reproduced from Ref. [123a].

the particular framework topology of ITQ-33 opens attractive possibilities for catalysis.

For instance, ITQ-33 shows very high activity for the industrially relevant alkylation of benzene with propylene to produce cumene, giving an extremely low yield of the undesirable byproduct *n*-propylbenzene (less than 0.01% yield). As seen in Figure 20, ITQ-33 performs better and is more stable towards deactivation than the commercial Beta zeolite. The lower catalyst deactivation of ITQ-33 observed for benzene alkylation can be explained by the presence of the extra-large 18-ring pores that favor the formation and diffusion of the alkylated products, while the presence of medium pores reduces the undesired propylene oligomerization. [123a, 127]

Table 2: Catalytic cracking of Arabian light vacuum gasoil at 500 °C.

Catalyst	X [%]	Diesel yield [%]	Gasoline yield [%]	Propylene Yield [%]	Propylene/ propane	Isobutene/ isobutane
USY	92.5	15.7	40.4	4.7	1.0	0.1
ITQ-33	89.2	22.6	34.5	4.2	1.9	0.4
Beta	84.0	14.1	32.3	7.5	1.9	0.5
USY + 20% ZSM-5	87.0	17.0	33.2	7.2	1.5	0.3
$ITQ\text{-}33 + 20\% \; ZSM\text{-}5$	86.1	23.3	25.1	9.0	3.7	1.1



The ITQ-33 zeolite shows an interesting behavior for the catalytic cracking of a vacuum gasoil (Table 2).[123a,127] Using ITQ-33 gives more diesel and less gasoline, while increasing propylene and butene yields, than commercial catalysts based on USY zeolites (see USY and Beta catalytic activities in Table 2). Thus, with ITQ-33 it was possible to simultaneously maximize the yields to propylene and diesel. This catalytic behavior is significant because of the diesel-production deficiency in European refineries, and the increasing demand of light olefins for chemical and petrochemical processes.^[128] The structure of ITQ-33 containing interconnected extra-large 18-ring pores and medium 10-ring pores is an adequate structure to maximize both selectivities simultaneously, since the very-large pores allow diffusion of the diesel molecules while gasoline-range hydrocarbons, able to diffusion through the medium pores, will crack and produce C₃ and C₄ olefins.

5.3. Other Germanosilicates with Extra-Large Pores and D3R in Their Structure (ITQ-40 and ITQ-44)

Increasing the Ge content in the synthesis media (Si/Ge \approx 0.7–1.2) has allowed the synthesis of two multipore extralarge pore silicogermanates with the lowest framework densities ever reported for zeolitic materials, ITQ-40 (FD = 10.1 T/1000 Å³)[123e] and ITQ-44 (FD = 10.9 T/1000 Å³).[123c] In both cases, the large Ge content directs to the formation of not only D4R, but also of double-3-rings (D3R) for the first time in crystalline zeolitic materials (see D3R in the structure of ITQ-44 in Figure 19E). ITQ-40 has a three-dimensional framework with intersecting $16 \times 16 \times 15$ -ring pores with crystallographic pore openings of 9.9 Å for the circular 15ring pore running along the c axis, and $9.4 \times 10.4 \text{ Å}$ pore openings for the two 16-ring pores running along the a and b axes. [123e] In contrast, ITQ-44 has a three-dimensional framework with intersecting 18 × 12 × 12-ring pores with pore openings of 12.5 Å for the circular 18-ring pore along the c axis (Figure 19 C), and 8.2×6.0 Å for the system of 12ring pores along the ab plane (Figure 19E). As shown in Figure 19, the structure of ITQ-44 is closely related to ITQ-33. Both are formed from the same zeolitic layer (Figure 19B), which is connected by simple 3-rings in the case of ITQ-33 and D3Rs in the case of ITQ-44 (Figure 19D,E,

respectively). The hydrothermal stability of these zeolites should be improved by decreasing the Ge content to study their potential as catalysts in applications involving bulky molecules.

6. Conclusions and Perspectives

Herein, the synthesis and the catalytic applications of the most interesting microporous molecular sieves containing interconnected pores with different dimensions have been described. The benefit of these multipore zeolites for particular catalytic applica-

tions has been shown, not only in terms of selective diffusion of reactants or products by "molecular traffic control" through the different-sized channels, but also of the selective active-site population in certain crystallographic positions of these different pores/cavities.

Despite the large number of multipore zeolites reported to date and presented herein, efforts are still required for improving synthesis procedures, including chemical compositions, hydrothermal stabilities, and synthesis costs.

One of the big challenges in the next few years will be the synthesis of hydrothermally stable multipore zeolites containing extra-large pores. As described above, several extralarge multipore zeolites have been synthesized through the introduction of Ge atoms as inorganic SDAs.[120] Unfortunately, these extra-large-pore germanium-rich molecular sieves have low hydrothermal stabilities. Recently, some examples of post-synthetic isomorphic substitutions of Ge atoms in framework positions by Al or Si atoms have allowed the hydrothermal stability of zeolite catalysts to be improved, [111a,129] but to date, these post-synthetic procedures have only been reported for zeolites containing large pores (i.e. ITQ-17 or ITQ-22). It is expected that the selective isomorphic substitution of Ge by other heteroatoms under the adequate conditions will allow the preparation of quasi Gefree extra-large pore zeolites in the near future.

Since the synthesis of extra-large-pore zeolites containing specific small building units, such as D4R or D3R, is very difficult under Ge-free high-silica conditions, [120] we predict that one of the most feasible ways to obtain hydrothermally stable high-silica extra-large-pore zeolites would be as interrupted frameworks with ordered distribution of structural defects in the crystalline structure. The presence of framework defects in specific crystallographic positions could favor the formation of very open pores. In this sense, the design of bulky and specific OSDAs may be required to fill the large void volumes of extra-large multipore zeolites, and most importantly, to selectively position framework defects through the correct charge balance between the OSDAs and the siloxy species of the structural defects. The use of molecular modeling techniques could help designing and selecting the best OSDA candidates to template extra-large multipore zeolites.

Interestingly, linear and flexible OSDAs have also been able to direct the crystallization of different multipore




Figure 21. Different multipore zeolites synthetized using hexamethonium as the OSDA.



zeolites. Particularly interesting is the case of the commercially available hexamethonium cation, which directs the crystallization of multipore zeolites ranging from mediumsmall pores to extra-large pores (Figure 21). The use of linear flexible OSDAs with bulkier substituents in the cationic heads may also favor the filling of the large void volumes required to template large or extra-large multipore zeolites under high-silica synthesis conditions.

The rational synthesis of ITQ-39 using bifunctional OSDAs with the appropriate rigidity and flexibility opens a very attractive methodology to direct the formation of new zeolites with interconnected large and medium pores. [112,113] Since these types of multipore zeolites offer a unique opportunity to combine the catalytic properties of two important zeolites in industrial applications, such as Beta and ZSM-5, it could be predicted that the design of new OSDAs with adequate rigidity/flexibility will allow the synthesis of new zeolitic structures with potential interest as catalysts in commercial processes.

Importantly, the costs associated to the synthesis of multipore zeolites must be competitive to consider their potential application in industrial processes. With this in mind, the preparation of known multipore zeolites following an OSDA-free synthesis methodology, [130] or using inexpensive OSDAs (i.e. amines) [10b] can be helpful.

The use of OSDA mixtures would not only allow reducing the cost of the synthesis, if the original expensive OSDA is partially substituted by inexpensive organic molecules, but could also have a direct effect on the active-site distribution within the pores/cavities. A preferential positioning of the active sites in certain pores or crystallographic positions will have a clear impact on the catalytic activities and selectivities for particular chemical processes.^[18d,23]

Financial support by the Spanish Government-MINECO through "Severo Ochoa" (SEV 2012-0267), Consolider Ingenio 2010-Multicat, MAT2012-37160, MAT2012-31657 and Intramural-201480I015 is acknowledged.

Received: June 18, 2014 Published online: February 9, 2015

- [1] C. Cundy, P. A. Cox, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2005, 82,
- [2] a) M. E. Davis, *Nature* 2002, 417, 813; b) A. Corma, *J. Catal.* 2003, 216, 298; c) C. Martínez, A. Corma, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2011, 255, 1558; d) J. Cejka, G. Centi, J. Perez-Pariente, W. J. Roth, *Catal. Today* 2012, 179, 2.
- [3] A. Corma, M. E. Davis, ChemPhysChem 2004, 5, 304.
- [4] M. E. Davis, R. F. Lobo, Chem. Mater. 1992, 4, 756.
- [5] M. Moliner, C. Martínez, A. Corma, Chem. Mater. 2014, 26, 246.
- [6] a) A. Corma, L. T. Nemeth, M. Renz, S. Valencia, *Nature* 2001, 412, 423; b) M. Moliner, A. Corma, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2014, 189, 31; c) B. Notari, *Catal. Today* 1993, 18, 163.
- [7] a) M. Renz, T. Blasco, A. Corma, V. Fornes, R. Jensen, L. T. Nemeth, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, 8, 4708; b) A. Corma, M. E. Domine, L. T. Nemeth, S. Valencia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002, 124, 3194.

- [8] a) A. Corma, S. Iborra, A. Velty, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, 107, 2411;
 b) Y. Román-Leshkov, M. E. Davis, *ACS Catal.* 2011, 1, 1566;
 c) M. Moliner, *Dalton Trans.* 2014, 43, 4197.
- [9] a) S. T. Wilson, B. M. Lok, C. A. Messina, T. R. Cannan, E. M. Flanigen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1146; b) H. O. Pastore, S. Coluccia, L. Marchese, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 2005, 35, 351;
 c) M. Hartmann, L. Kevan, Res. Chem. Intermed. 2002, 28, 625.
- [10] a) A. Corma, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2373; b) S. I. Zones, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2011, 144, 1.
- [11] S. M. Csicsery, Zeolites 1984, 4, 202.
- [12] E. G. Derouane, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1984, 19, 1.
- [13] E. G. Derouane, Z. Gabelica, J. Catal. 1980, 65, 486.
- [14] a) B. W. Lowe, D. A. Whan, M. S. Spencer, J. Catal. 1981, 70, 237; b) E. G. Derouane, Z. Gabelica, P. A. Jacobs, J. Catal. 1981, 70, 238; c) C. G. Pope, J. Catal. 1981, 72, 174; d) E. G. Derouane, J. Catal. 1981, 72, 177; e) E. G. Derouane, Appl. Catal. A 1994, 115, N2.
- [15] a) L. A. Clark, G. T. Ye, R. Q. Snurr, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2000**, *84*, 2893; b) N. Neugebauer, P. Braüer, J. Kärger, *J. Catal.* **2000**, *194*, 1; c) A. Brzank, G. Schütz, *Appl. Catal. A* **2005**, *288*, 194; d) R. Harish, D. Karevski, G. M. Schütz, *J. Catal.* **2008**, *253*, 191; e) S. Chatterjee, R. Harish, G. M. Schütz, *Chem. Ing. Tech.* **2013**, *85*, 1671; f) A. Corma, F. J. Llopis, C. Martinez, G. Sastre, S. Valencia, *J. Catal.* **2009**, *268*, 9.
- [16] a) R. Gounder, E. Iglesia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 1958;
 b) R. Anquetil, J. Saussey, J. C. Lavalley, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 1999, 1, 555;
 c) M. Boronat, C. Martinez-Sanchez, D. Law, A. Corma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16316.
- [17] a) A. Bhan, A. D. Allian, G. J. Sunley, D. J. Law, E. Iglesia, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4919; b) A. Bhan, E. Iglesia, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 559; c) M. Boronat, C. Martínez, A. Corma, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2011, 13, 2603.
- [18] a) G. Guo, Y. Sun, Y. Long, Chem. Commun. 2000, 1893; b) L. Schreyeck, P. Caullet, J. C. Mougenel, J. L. Guth, B. Marler, Microporous Mater. 1996, 6, 259; c) T. J. Kim, W. S. Ahn, S. B. Hong, Microporous Mater. 1996, 7, 35; d) A. B. Pinar, L. Gomez-Hortigüela, J. Pérez-Pariente, Chem. Mater. 2007, 19, 5617
- [19] a) W. Inaoka, S. Kasahara, T. Fukushima, K. Igawa, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1991, 60, 37; b) R. A. Rakoczy, M. Breuninger, M. Hunger, Y. Traa, J. Weitkamp, Chem. Eng. Technol. 2002, 25, 273.
- [20] R. M. Barrer, D. J. Marshall, J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 485.
- [21] a) J. Song, L. Dai, Y. Ji, F. S. Xiao, Chem. Mater. 2006, 18, 2775;
 b) B. Xie, J. Song, L. Ren, Y. Ji, J. Li, F. S. Xiao, Chem. Mater. 2008, 20, 4533;
 c) Y. Kamimura, S. Tanahashi, K. Itabashi, A. Shimojima, T. Okubo, J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 744.
- [22] L. Gómez-Hortigüela, A. B. Pinar, F. Corà, J. Pérez-Pariente, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 2073.
- [23] A. B. Pinar, C. Márquez-Álvarez, M. Grande-Casas, J. Pérez-Pariente, J. Catal. 2009, 263, 258.
- [24] Y. Román-Leshkov, M. Moliner, M. E. Davis, J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 1096.
- [25] H. H. Mooiweer, K. P. de Jong, B. Kraushaar-Czarnetzki, W. H. J. Stork, B. C. H. Krutzen, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1994, 84C, 2327.
- [26] a) J. Szabo, J. Perrotey, G. Szabo, J. C. Duchet, D. Cornet, J. Phys. Chem. A 1991, 67, 79; b) J. Houzvicka, V. Ponec, Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng. 1997, 39, 319.
- [27] http://www.epa.gov/mtbe/gas.htm.
- [28] a) M. Guisnet, P. Andy, N. S. Gnep, C. Travers, E. Benazzi, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1995, 1685; b) B. de Ménorval, P. Ayrault, N. S. Gnep, M. Guisnet, J. Catal. 2005, 230, 38.
- [29] a) R. J. Pellet, D. G. Casey, H. M. Huang, R. V. Kessler, E. J. Kuhlman, C. L. Oyoung, R. A. Sawicki, J. R. Ugolini, *J. Catal.* 1995, 157, 423; b) P. Andy, N. S. Gnep, M. Guisnet, E. Benazzi, C. Travers, *J. Catal.* 1998, 173, 322.



- [30] a) H. Abrevaya, S. F. Abdo, R. L. Patton, U.S.-Patent 6867341,
 2005; b) H. Abrevaya, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 2007, 170, 1244;
 c) H. Abrevaya, G. P.Towler U.S.-Patent 2010/0105974, 2010;
 d) O. Bortnovsky, P. Sazama, B. Wichterlova, Appl. Catal. A
 2005, 287, 203; e) B. G. Anderson, R. R. Schumacher, R. Van Duren, A. P. Singh, R. A. Van Saten, J. Mol. Catal. A
 2002, 181, 291; f) R. Bastiani, Y. L. Lam, C. A. Henriques, V. T. da Silva, Fuel 2013, 107, 680.
- [31] a) S. Ernst, J. Weitkamp, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1991, 65, 645;
 b) J. L. Schlenker, J. B. Higgins, E. W. Valyocsik, Zeolites 1990, 10, 293.
- [32] E. W. Valyocsik, N. M. Page, Eur. Pat. Appl. 174121, 1986.
- [33] J. A. Martens, R. Ravishankar, I. E. Mishin, P. A. Jacobs, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4376; Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 4547.
- [34] a) E. Galli, A. Alberti, Bull. Soc. Fr. Mineral. Cristallogr. 1975, 98, 331; b) S. B. Hong, E. G. Lear, P. A. Wright, W. Zhou, P. A. Cox, C. H. Shin, J. H. Park, I. S. Nam, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5817.
- [35] a) J. G. Liou, Contrib. Mineral. Petrol. 1971, 31, 171; b) H. Ghobarkar, O. Schaef, U. Guth, J. Solid State Chem. 1999, 142, 451.
- [36] a) S. I. Zones, A. W. Burton, U.S.-Patent 7713512, 2010;
 b) T. M. Davis, C. Y. Chen, N. Zilkova, D. Vitvarova-Prochazkova, J. Cejka, S. I. Zones, *J. Catal.* 2013, 298, 84.
- [37] A. Corma, M. Puche, F. Rey, G. Sankar, S. J. Teat, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1156; Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 1188.
- [38] T. Boix, M. Puche, M. A. Camblor, A. Corma, US 6,471,941,
- [39] R. Castañeda, A. Corma, V. Fornés, J. Martínez-Triguero, S. Valencia, J. Catal. 2006, 238, 79.
- [40] A. Corma, M. J. Diaz-Cabanas, J. L. Jorda, F. Rey, G. Sastre, K. G. Strohmaier, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16482.
- [41] R. Millini, G. Perego, W.O. Parker, Jr., G. Bellussi, L. Carluccio, Microporous Mater. 1995, 4, 221.
- [42] B. Marler, M. A. Camblor, H. Gies, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2006, 90, 87.
- [43] T. Ikeda, Y. Oumi, Y. Akiyama, A. Kawai, F. Mizukami, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4892; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5000.
- [44] S. Zanardi, A. Alberti, G. Cruciani, A. Corma, V. Fornés, M. Brunelli, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 4933; Angew. Chem. 2004, 116, 5041.
- [45] T. Ikeda, Y. Oumi, T. Takeoka, T. Yokoyama, T. Sano, T. Hanaoka, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2008, 110, 488.
- [46] Y. Wang, H. Gies, B. Marler, U. Müller, Chem. Mater. 2005, 17, 43.
- [47] B. Tijsebaert, C. Varszegi, H. Gies, F. S. Xiao, X. Bao, T. Tatsumi, U. Muller, D. De Vos, Chem. Commun. 2008, 2480.
- [48] B. Yilmaz, U. Muller, B. Tijsebaert, D. De Vos, B. Xie, F. S. Xiao, H. Gies, W. Zhang, X. Bao, H. Imai, T. Tatsumi, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 1812.
- [49] B. Tijsebaert, B. Yilmaz, U. Muller, H. Gies, W. Zhang, X. Bao, F. S. Xiao, T. Tatsumi, D. De Vos, J. Catal. 2011, 278, 246.
- [50] D. R. Corbin, S. Schwarz, G. C. Sonnichsen, Catal. Today 1997, 37, 71.
- [51] W. J. Roth, P. Nachtigall, R. E. Morris, P. S. Wheatley, V. R. Seymour, S. E. Ashbrook, P. Chlubná, L. Grajciar, M. Položij, A. Zukal, O. Shvets, J. Čejka, *Nat. Chem.* 2013, 5, 628.
- [52] N. Dumont, Z. Gabelica, E. G. Derouane, L. B. McCusker, Microporous Mater. 1993, 1, 149.
- [53] J. P. Lourenço, M. F. Ribeiro, F. R. Ribeiro, J. Rocha, Z. Gabelica, N. Dumont, E. G. Derouane, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1994, 84, 867.
- [54] J. P. Lourenço, M. F. Ribeiro, F. R. Ribeiro, J. Rocha, Z. Gabelica, Appl. Catal. A 1996, 148, 167.
- [55] J. P. Lourenço, M. F. Ribeiro, F. R. Ribeiro, J. Rocha, Z. Gabelica, React. Kinet. Catal. Lett. 1996, 59, 219.

- [56] R. E. Morris, A. Burton, L. M. Bull, S. I. Zones, *Chem. Mater.* 2004, 16, 2844.
- [57] G. Cao, M. Afeworki, G. J. Kennedy, K. G. Strohmaier, D. L. Dorset, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B 2007, 63, 56.
- [58] D. B. Akolekar, Zeolites 1995, 15, 583.
- [59] V. Patinec, P. A. Wright, P. Lightfoot, R. A. Aitken, P. A. Cox, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1999, 3909.
- [60] X. Bu, P. Feng, G. D. Stucky, Science 1997, 278, 2080.
- [61] C. S. Blackwell, R. W. Broach, M. G. Gatter, J. S. Holmgren, D. Y. Jan, G. J. Lewis, B. J. Mezza, T. M. Mezza, M. A. Miller, J. G. Moscoso, R. L. Patton, L. M. Rohde, M. W. Schoonover, W. Sinkler, B. A. Wilson, S. T. Wilson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, 42, 1737; *Angew. Chem.* 2003, 115, 1779.
- [62] J. B. Higgins, K. D. Schmitt, Zeolites 1996, 16, 236.
- [63] M. Itakura, Y. Oumi, M. Sadakane, T. Sano, *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2010, 45, 646.
- [64] a) D. W. Breck, N. A. Acara, U.S.-Patent 2991151, 1961; b) K. J. Andries, H. J. Bosmans, P. J. Grobet, Zeolites 1991, 11, 124.
- [65] T. Moteki, T. Okubo, Chem. Mater. 2013, 25, 2603.
- [66] J. Ciric, U.S.-Patent 3692470, 1972.
- [67] a) R. Aiello, R. M. Barrer, J. A. Davies, I. S. Kerr, Trans. Faraday Soc. 1970, 66, 1610; b) L. Moudafi, R. Dutarte, F. Fajula, F. Figueras, Appl. Catal. 1986, 20, 189; c) A. Matijasic, J. Patarin, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 1999, 29, 405.
- [68] a) E. Sastre, A. Corma, F. Fajula, F. Figueras, J. Perez-Pariente, J. Catal. 1990, 126, 457; b) J. A. Martens, J. Perez-Pariente, E. Sastre, A. Corma, P. A. Jacobs, Appl. Catal. 1988, 45, 85.
- [69] a) W. Arous, H. Tounsi, S. Djemel, A. Ghorbel, G. Delahay, Top. Catal. 2007, 42-43, 51; b) W. Arous, H. Tounsi, S. Djemel, A. Ghorbel, G. Delahay, Catal. Commun. 2005, 6, 281.
- [70] N. Y. Chen, J. L. Schlenker, W. E. Garwood, G. T. Kokotailo, J. Catal. 1984, 86, 24.
- [71] F. Hernandez, L. Moudafi, F. Fajula, F. Figueras, Proceed. 8th Int. Congr. Catal. 1985, 2, 1447.
- [72] P. Dejaifve, A. Auroux, P. C. Gravelle, J. C. Vedrine, Z. Gabelica, E. G. Derouane, J. Catal. 1981, 70, 123.
- [73] W. M. Meier, Z. Kristallogr. 1961, 115, 439.
- [74] a) L. D. Fernandes, J. L. F. Monteiro, E. F. Sousa-Aguiar, A. Martinez, A. Corma, J. Catal. 1998, 177, 363; b) H. Sasaki, Y. Oumi, K. Itabashi, B. Lu, T. Teranishi, T. Sano, J. Mater. Chem. 2003, 13, 1173.
- [75] a) F. Hamidi, A. Bengueddach, F. Di Renzo, F. Fajula, Catal. Lett. 2003, 87, 149; b) P. Sharma, P. Rajaram, R. Tomar, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2008, 325, 547; c) L. Zhang, A. N. C. van Laak, P. E. de Jongh, K. P. De Jong, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2009, 126, 115.
- [76] L. Zhang, S. Xie, W. Xin, X. Li, S. Liu, L. Xu, Mater. Res. Bull. 2011, 46, 894.
- [77] M. Kato, K. Itabashi, A. Matsumoto, K. Tsutsumi, J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 1788.
- [78] a) P. K. Bajpai, M. S. Rao, K. V. G. K. Gokhale, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev.* 1978, 17, 223; b) M. H. Groothaert, P. J. Smeets, B. F. Sels, P. A. Jacobs, R. A. Schoonheydt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005, 127, 1394; c) S. van Donk, A. Broersma, O. L. J. Gijzeman, J. A. van Bokhoven, J. H. Bitter, K. P. de Jong, *J. Catal.* 2001, 204, 272; d) S. Samanta, N. K. Mal, P. Kumar, A. Bhaumik, *J. Mol. Catal. A* 2004, 215, 169; e) A. Corma, J. Frontela, J. Lazaro, M. Perez, *Prepr. Am. Chem. Soc. Div. Pet. Chem.* 1991, 36, 833.
- [79] J. Lazaro, A. Corma, J. Frontela, US 5,057,471, 1991.
- [80] P. Magnoux, M. Guisnet, Appl. Catal. 1988, 38, 341.
- [81] a) W. J. Smith, EP 0,596,632, 1993; b) B. Ellis, M. J. Howard, R. W. Joyner, K. N. Reddy, M. B. Padley, W. J. Smith, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 1996, 101, 771; c) P. Cheung, A. Bhan, G. J. Sunley, E. Iglesia, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1617; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 1647.
- [82] G. J. Sunley, D. J. Watson, Catal. Today 2000, 58, 293.



- [83] J. J. Pluth, J. V. Smith, Am. Mineral. 1990, 75, 501.
- [84] T. Willhammar, J. Sun, W. Wan, P. Olevnikov, D. Zhang, X. Zou, M. Moliner, J. Gonzalez, C. Martínez, F. Rey, A. Corma, Nat. Chem. 2012, 4, 188.
- [85] R. F. Lobo, M. Pan, I. Chan, H. X. Li, R. C. Medrud, S. I. Zones, P. A. Crozier, M. E. Davis, *Science* 1993, 262, 1543.
- [86] R. F. Lobo, M. Pan, I. Chan, R. C. Medrud, S. I. Zones, P. A. Crozier, M. E. Davis, J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 12040.
- [87] R. F. Lobo, M. E. Davis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3766.
- [88] a) A. Corma, M. T. Navarro, F. Rey, J. Rius, S. Valencia, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2277; Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 2337;
 b) A. Corma, M. T. Navarro, F. Rey, S. Valencia, Chem. Commun. 2001, 1486;
 c) G. Sastre, A. Vidal-Moya, T. Blasco, J. Rius, J. L. Jorda, M. T. Navarro, F. Rey, A. Corma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4722; Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 4916;
 d) T. Blasco, A. Corma, M. J. Diaz-Cabañas, F. Rey, A. Vidal-Moya, C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106, 2634.
- [89] R. Castaneda, A. Corma, V. Fornes, F. Rey, J. Rius, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 7820.
- [90] A. Cantín, A. Corma, M. J. Diaz-Cabanas, J. L. Jorda, M. Moliner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4216.
- [91] a) B. Adair, C. Y. Chen, K. T. Wan, M. E. Davis, *Microporous Mater.* 1996, 7, 261; b) C. W. Jones, S. I. Zones, M. E. Davis, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 1999, 28, 471.
- [92] A. Corma, C. Corell, F. J. Llopis, A. Martinez, J. Perez-Pariente, Appl. Catal. A 1994, 115, 121.
- [93] a) A. Corma, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 1998, 21, 487;
 b) G. Sastre, A. Corma, R. A. Catlow, Top. Catal. 1999, 9, 215.
- [94] E. Galli, S. Quartieri, G. Vezzalini, A. Alberti, Eur. J. Mineral. 1995, 7, 1029.
- [95] R. Simancas, D. Dari, N. Velamazán, M. T. Navarro, A. Cantín, J. L. Jordá, G. Sastre, A. Corma, F. Rey, Science 2010, 330, 1219.
- [96] D. L. Dorset, S. C. Weston, S. S. Dhingra, J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 2045.
- [97] T. Shibata, S. Suzuki, H. Kawagoe, K. Komura, Y. Kubota, Y. Sugi, J. H. Kim, G. Seo, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2008, 116, 216.
- [98] T. Shibata, H. Kawagoe, H. Naiki, N. Komura, Y. Kubota, Y. Sugi, J. Mol. Catal. A 2009, 297, 80.
- [99] Y. Sugi, H. Maekawa, Y. Hasegawa, A. Ito, R. Asai, D. Yamamoto, K. Komura, Y. Kubota, J. H. Kim, G. Seo, *Catal. Today* 2008, 131, 413.
- [100] S. Inagaki, K. Takechi, Y. Kubota, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 2662
- [101] Y. Kubota, Y. Koyama, T. Yamada, S. Inagaki, T. Tatsumi, Chem. Commun. 2008, 6224.
- [102] S. Elomari, A. Burton, R. C. Medrud, R. Grosse-Kunstleve, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2009, 118, 325.
- [103] D. Xie, L. B. McCusker, C. Baerlocher, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20604.
- [104] A. W. Burton, U.S.-Patent 7820141, 2010.
- [105] C. Baerlocher, T. Weber, L. B. McCusker, L. Palatinus, S. I. Zones, *Science* 2011, 333, 1134.
- [106] S. I. Zones, J. Ruan, S. Elomari, O. Terasaki, C. Y. Chen, A. Corma, Solid State Sci. 2011, 13, 706.
- [107] C. Y. Chen, S. I. Zones, S. J. Huang, L. M. Bull, Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal. 2004, 154B, 1547.
- [108] S. I. Zones, C. Y. Chen, A. Benin, S. J. Hwang, J. Catal. 2013, 308, 213.
- [109] S. I. Zones, A. Benin, S. J. Hwang, D. Xie, S. Elomari, M. F. Hsieh, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1462.
- [110] A. Corma, F. Rey, S. Valencia, J. L. Jorda, J. Rius, *Nat. Mater.* 2003, 2, 493.
- [111] a) L. Burel, N. Kasian, A. Tuel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1360; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 1384; b) P. Chlubná-Eliásová, Y. Tian, A. B. Pinar, M. Kubu, J. Cejka, R. E. Morris, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7048; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 7168.

- [112] M. Moliner, F. Rey, A. Corma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13880; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 14124.
- [113] M. Moliner, J. González, M. T. Portilla, T. Willhammar, F. Rey, F. J. Llopis, X. Zou, A. Corma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 9497.
- [114] M. Moliner, A. Corma, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2012, 164, 44.
- [115] M. Moliner, T. Willhammar, W. Wan, J. González, F. Rey, J. L. Jorda, X. Zou, A. Corma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 6473.
- [116] L. Chereyeck, P. H. Caullet, J. C. Mougenel, J. L. Guth, B. Marler, *Microporous Mater.* 1996, 6, 259.
- [117] T. Ikeda, S. Kayamori, Y. Oumi, F. Mizukami, J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 3466.
- [118] E. Verheyen, L. Joos, K. Van Havenbergh, E. Breynaert, N. Kasian, E. Gobechiya, K. Houthoofd, C. Martineau, M. Hinterstein, F. Taulelle, V. Van Speybroeck, M. Waroquier, S. Bals, G. Van Tendeloo, C. E. A. Kirschhock, J. A. Martens, *Nat. Mater.* 2012, 11, 1059.
- [119] a) A. Corma, M. J. Diaz-Cabañas, F. Rey, S. Nicolopoulus, K. Boulahya, *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 1356; b) J. L. Paillaud, B. Harbuzaru, J. Patarin, N. Bats, *Science* 2004, 304, 990.
- [120] J. Jiang, J. Yu, A. Corma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 3120; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 3186.
- [121] T. Blasco, A. Corma, M. J. Díaz-Cabañas, F. Rey, A. Vidal-Moya, C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. B 2002, 106, 2634.
- [122] G. O. Brunner, W. M. Meier, Nature 1989, 337, 146.
- [123] a) A. Corma, M. J. Díaz-Cabañas, J. L. Jordá, C. Martínez, M. Moliner, Nature 2006, 443, 842; b) J. Sun, C. Bonneau, A. Cantín, A. Corma, M. J. Diaz-Cabañas, M. Moliner, D. Zhang, M. Li, X. Zou, Nature 2009, 458, 1154; c) J. Jiang, J. L. Jorda, M. J. Diaz-Cabañas, J. Yu, A. Corma, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4986; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 5106; d) J. Jiang, J. L. Jorda, J. Yu, L. A. Baumes, E. Mugnaioli, M. J. Diaz-Cabanas, U. Kolb, A. Corma, Science 2011, 333, 1131; e) A. Corma, M. J. Díaz-Cabañas, J. Jiang, M. Afeworki, D. L. Dorset, S. L. Soled, K. G. Strohmaier, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010, 107, 13997.
- [124] a) A. Corma, M. J. Diaz-Cabañas, F. Rey, Sp. Pat. ES2186487, 2000; b) A. Corma, M. J. Diaz-Cabañas, F. Rey, PCT Int. Appl. < patn/> WO0203820, 2002.
- [125] a) N. Kasian, T. I. Koranyi, G. Vanbutsele, K. Houthoofd, J. A. Martens, C. E. A. Kirschhock, *Top. Catal.* 2010, *53*, 1374; b) N. Kasian, G. Vanbutsele, K. Houthoofd, T. I. Koranyi, J. A. Martens, C. E. A. Kirschhock, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2011, *1*, 246; c) N. Kasian, E. Verheyen, G. Vanbutsele, K. Houthoofd, T. I. Koranyi, J. A. Martens, C. E. A. Kirschhock, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2013, *166*, 153.
- [126] N. Zilková, M. Shamzhy, O. Shvets, J. Cejka, Catal. Today 2013, 204, 22.
- [127] M. Moliner, M. J. Diaz-Cabañas, V. Fornes, C. Martinez, A. Corma, J. Catal. 2008, 254, 101.
- [128] "Catalytic Processes for Light Olefin Production": W. Xieqing, X. Chaogang, L. Zaiting, Z. Genquan in *Practical Advances in Petroleum Processing* (Eds.: C. S. Hsu, P. R. Robinson), Springer, New York, 2006, pp. 149–168.
- [129] a) H. Xu, J. G. Jiang, B. Yang, L. Zhang, M. He, P. Wu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1355; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 1379;
 b) F. Gao, M. Jaber, K. Bozhilov, A. Vicente, C. Fernandez, V. Valtchev, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16580.
- [130] K. Iyoki, K. Itabashi, T. Okubo, Microporous Mesoporous Mater. 2014, 189, 22.
- [131] A. M. Prakash, S. Ashtekar, D. K. Chakrabarty, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1995, 91, 1045.
- [132] A. M. Chippindale, A. R. Cowley, Zeolites 1997, 18, 176.
- [133] A. R. Cowley, A. M. Chippindale, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **1999**, 28, 163.
- [134] S. B. Hong, S. H. Kim, Y. G. Kim, Y. C. Kim, P. A. Barrett, M. A. Camblor, J. Mater. Chem. 1999, 9, 2287.



- [135] M. E. Leonowicz, D. E. W. Vaughan, Nature 1987, 329, 819.
- [136] K. G. Strohmaier, D. E. W. Vaughan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 16035.
- [137] P. A. Vaughan, Acta Crystallogr. 1966, 21, 983.
- [138] see Ref. [31b].
- [139] M. G. Howden, Zeolites 1987, 7, 255.

- [140] S. L. Lawton, J. M. Bennett, J. L. Schlenker, M. K. Rubin, Chem. Commun. 1993, 894.
- [141] Y. Lorgouilloux, M. Dodin, J. L. Paillaud, P. Caullet, L. Michelin, L. Josien, O. Ersen, N. Bats, J. Solid State Chem. 2009, 182, 622.
- [142] M. Dodin, J. L. Paillaud, Y. Lorgouillous, P. Caullet, E. Elkaim, N. Bats, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10221.